IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

KENNETH #1263389,	DARRELL PUCKETT,)
π1203309,	Petitioner,)
v. NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN, Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Div., Respondent.)) 3:07-CV-1713-O) ECF)))
	otice of Appeal with motion for leave to get captioned action in which:	proceed in forma pauperis were filed on May 5, 2008 in the
(X) ()	U.S.C. § 2254.	order in a habeas corpus proceeding brought pursuant to 28 l order in a proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.
	nant to Federal Rule of Appellate Produge recommends as follows:	ocedure 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), the undersigned
IFP	the party appealing is proceeding <i>in</i> the party appealing should be DENI for the following reason(s): () the Court recommends that and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). () the person appealing is not a short of the person appealing has no Rules of Appellate Procedu	NTED leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> . forma pauperis. IED leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> the District Court certify, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a), that the appeal is not taken in good faith;
() (X)	a Certificate of Appealability should	d be GRANTED. (See issues set forth below). d be DENIED. (See reasons stated below).

REASONS FOR DENIAL: For the reasons stated in the Findings and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, filed on February 8, 2008, which were accepted by the District Court on March 31, 2008, Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find it debatable whether the district court was

correct in finding that the habeas corpus petition is barred by the statute of limitations. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000).

SIGNED this 9th day of May, 2008.

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE